



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/341,665

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

9

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MR. ARNOLD S. WEINTRAUB (2) \_\_\_\_\_

(3) R.D. SHAFFER (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 3/18/96

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: SCHMIDT ET AL ('372) AND ALBERS ET AL

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: MR. WEINTRAUB ARGUE THAT SCHMIDT ET AL ('372) DISCLOSES A CIRCULAR ~~BASED~~ BASED WITH AN UNIFORMED RADIUS OF CURVATURE AND THAT ALBERS ET AL DISCLOSES A MIRROR HAVING MAXIMUM (SHARPEST) CURVATURE AT THE PEAK ~~WHICH~~ DECREASES TOWARD THE EDGE. MR. WEINTRAUB FURTHER PROPOSED AMENDING CLAIM 1, TO INCLUDE THE LANGUAGE THAT THE PERIPHERAL EDGE IS OVAL AND THE LENS BODY IS AN ELLIPSOID WITH THE MAJOR AXES HAVING A VARYING RADIUS OF CURVATURE WHICH INCREASES FROM THE APOX TO THE EDGE. THE EXAMINER INFORMED MR. WEINTRAUB THAT A CURSORY REVIEW (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) OF THE ABOVE PROPOSED LANGUAGE WOULD APPEAR TO DISTINGUISH ☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. OVER THE PRIOR ART TO SCHMIDT ET AL AND ALBERS ET AL. MR. WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. WEINTRAUB INFORMED THE EXAMINER THAT AN OFFICIAL AMENDMENT ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

WILL BE SHORTLY FILED.

R.D. Shaffer